

and there is no mention of oral hygiene practices. Postradiotherapy oral mucositis can be a hindrance in the maintenance of regular oral hygiene,<sup>2</sup> which can lead to poor periodontal health and subsequent bone changes.

3. We are curious about the quality of the stored panoramic images, especially of the 204 film-based images, as there is no mention of any images excluded due to poor image quality.

We take this opportunity to record our appreciation of the efforts put forth by the authors in conducting this study, which will definitely facilitate further research in this field.

*Divyansh Bansal, BDS*  
*Yogesh Chhparwal, MDS*  
*Keerthilatha M. Pai, MDS*

Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology  
Manipal College of Dental Sciences  
Manipal University  
Manipal  
Karnataka, India

#### REFERENCES

1. Chan KC, Perschbacher SE, Lam EWN, et al. Mandibular changes on panoramic imaging after head and neck radiotherapy. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol.* 2016;121:666-672.
2. Sciubba JJ, Goldenberg D. Oral complications of radiotherapy. *Lancet Oncol.* 2006;7:175-183.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.oooo.2016.07.027>

## “A randomized double-blind, multiple-arm trial comparing the efficacy of submucosal injections of hyaluronidase, dexamethasone, and combination of dexamethasone and hyaluronidase in the management of oral submucous fibrosis”—a commentary



*To the Editor:*

We congratulate the authors on the report of their randomized, double-blind, multiple-arm clinical trial.<sup>1</sup> The article is very informative for clinicians encountering oral submucous fibrosis. We have a few queries for which we seek clarifications from the authors. We request the authors respond to the following questions:

1. Without an intragroup analysis, can we conclude that there was significant reduction in tightness of mucosa in all the three groups?

2. The total improvement in burning sensation and tightness of mucosa does not appear to match with the total aggregate of baseline—week 2, week 2 to month 1, months 1 to 2, months 2 to 3, and months 3 to 6 for group 1.
3. With regard to tightness of mucosa and mouth opening (months 3-6), is it valid to include the group comparisons when one or more groups had mean and standard deviation of 0?

Thank you.

*Kalyana Chakravarthy Pentapati, MDS*  
Associate Professor  
Department of Public Health Dentistry  
Manipal College of Dental Sciences  
Manipal University  
Manipal, India

*Srikanth Gadicherla, MDS*  
Associate Professor  
Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery  
Manipal College of Dental Sciences  
Manipal University  
Manipal, India

*Komal Smriti, MDS*  
Assistant Professor  
Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology  
Manipal College of Dental Sciences  
Manipal University  
Manipal, India

#### REFERENCE

1. Alora Veedu R, Balan A, Sankar SP. A randomized double-blind, multiple-arm trial comparing the efficacy of submucosal injections of hyaluronidase, dexamethasone, and combination of dexamethasone and hyaluronidase in the management of oral submucous fibrosis. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol.* 2015;120:588-593.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.oooo.2016.06.098>

## Comparison of the performance of intraoral X-ray sensors using objective image quality assessment



*In reply:*

This letter is in response to the comments from Drs. Mah and Udupa regarding our article “Comparison of the performance of intraoral X-ray sensors using objective image quality assessment.” We are grateful for their comments and appreciate their careful reading of our paper.